

# BRAUER-SEVERI MOTIVES AND DONALDSON-THOMAS INVARIANTS OF QUANTIZED THREEFOLDS

LIEVEN LE BRUYN

**ABSTRACT.** Motives of Brauer-Severi schemes of Cayley-smooth algebras associated to homogeneous superpotentials are used to compute inductively the motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants of the corresponding Jacobian algebras. This approach can be used to test the conjectural exponential expressions for these invariants, proposed in [3]. As an example we confirm the second term of the conjectured expression for the motivic series of the homogenized Weyl algebra.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We fix a homogeneous degree  $d$  superpotential  $W$  in  $m$  non-commuting variables  $X_1, \dots, X_m$ . For every dimension  $n \geq 1$ ,  $W$  defines a regular functions, sometimes called the Chern-Simons functional

$$Tr(W) : \mathbb{M}_{m,n} = \underbrace{M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C})}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

obtained by replacing in  $W$  each occurrence of  $X_i$  by the  $n \times n$  matrix in the  $i$ -th component, and taking traces.

We are interested in the (naive, equivariant) motives of the fibers of this functional which we denote by

$$\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = Tr(W)^{-1}(\lambda).$$

Recall that to each isomorphism class of a complex variety  $X$  (equipped with a good action of a finite group of roots of unity) we associate its naive equivariant motive  $[X]$  which is an element in the ring  $K_0^{\mu}(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1/2}]$  (see [4] or [3]) and is subject to the scissor- and product-relations

$$[X] - [Z] = [X - Z] \quad \text{and} \quad [X].[Y] = [X \times Y]$$

whenever  $Z$  is a Zariski closed subvariety of  $X$ . A special element is the Lefschetz motive  $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^1, id]$  and we recall from [12, Lemma 4.1] that  $[GL_n] = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\mathbb{L}^n - \mathbb{L}^k)$  and from [3, 2.2] that  $[\mathbb{A}^n, \mu_k] = \mathbb{L}^n$  for a linear action of  $\mu_k$  on  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . This ring is equipped with a plethystic exponential  $\text{Exp}$ , see for example [2] and [4].

The representation theoretic interest of the degeneracy locus  $Z = \{dTr(W) = 0\}$  of the Chern-Simons functional is that it coincides with the scheme of  $n$ -dimensional representations

$$Z = \text{rep}_n(R_W) \quad \text{where} \quad R_W = \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_m \rangle}{(\partial_{X_i}(W) : 1 \leq i \leq m)}$$

of the corresponding Jacobi algebra  $R_W$  where  $\partial_{X_i}$  is the cyclic derivative with respect to  $X_i$ . As  $W$  is homogeneous it follows from [4, Thm. 1.3] (or [1] if the

superpotential allows 'a cut') that its virtual motive is equal to

$$[\mathbf{rep}_n(R_W)]_{virt} = \mathbb{L}^{-\frac{mn^2}{2}} ([\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(1)])$$

where  $\hat{\mu}$  acts via  $\mu_d$  on  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(1)$  and trivially on  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(0)$ . These virtual motives can be packaged together into the motivic Donaldson-Thomas series

$$U_W(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}^{-\frac{(m-1)n^2}{2}} \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(1)]}{[GL_n]} t^n$$

In [3] A. Cazzaniga, A. Morrison, B. Pym and B. Szendrői conjecture that this generating series has an exponential expression involving simple rational functions of virtual motives determined by representation theoretic information of the Jacobi algebra  $R_W$

$$U_W(t) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{Exp}(-\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{M_i}{\mathbb{L}^{1/2} - \mathbb{L}^{-1/2}} \frac{t^{m_i}}{1 - t^{m_i}})$$

where  $m_1 = 1, \dots, m_k$  are the dimensions of simple representations of  $R_W$  and  $M_i \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$  are motivic expressions without denominators, with  $M_1$  the virtual motive of the scheme parametrizing (simple) 1-dimensional representations. Evidence for this conjecture comes from cases where the superpotential admits a cut and hence one can use dimensional reduction, introduced by A. Morrison in [12], as in the case of quantum affine three-space [3].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce an inductive procedure to test the conjectural exponential expressions given in [3] in other interesting cases such as the homogenized Weyl algebra and elliptic Sklyanin algebras. To this end we introduce the following quotient of the free necklace algebra on  $m$  variables

$$\mathbb{T}_m^W(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_m \rangle \otimes \mathbf{Sym}(V_m)}{(W - \lambda)}, \text{ where } V_m = \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_m \rangle}{[\mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_m \rangle, \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \dots, X_m \rangle]_{vect}}$$

is the vectorspace space having as a basis all cyclic words in  $X_1, \dots, X_m$ . Note that any superpotential is an element of  $\mathbf{Sym}(V_m)$ . Substituting each  $X_k$  by a generic  $n \times n$  matrix and each cyclic word by the corresponding trace we obtain a quotient of the trace ring of  $m$  generic  $n \times n$  matrices

$$\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{T}_{m,n}}{(Tr(W) - \lambda)} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W)$$

such that its scheme of trace preserving  $n$ -dimensional representations is isomorphic to the fiber  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$ . We will see that if  $\lambda \neq 0$  the algebra  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  shares many ringtheoretic properties of trace rings of generic matrices, in particular it is a Cayley-smooth algebra, see [10]. As such one might hope to describe  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  using the Luna stratification of the quotient and its fibers in terms of marked quiver settings given in [10]. However, all this is with respect to the étale topology and hence useless in computing motives.

For this reason we consider the Brauer-Severi scheme of  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$ , as introduced by M. Van den Bergh in [17] and further investigated by M. Reineke in [16], which are quotients of a principal  $GL_n$ -bundles and hence behave well with respect to motives. More precisely, the Brauer-Severi scheme of  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \{(v, \phi) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)) \mid \phi(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))v = \mathbb{C}^n\} / GL_n$$

and their motives determine inductively the motives in the Donaldson-Thomas series. In Proposition 5 we will show that

$$(\mathbb{L}^n - 1) \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(1)]}{[GL_n]}$$

is equal to

$$[\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(0)] - [\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(1)] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{(m-1)k(n-k)}}{[GL_{n-k}]} ([\mathbf{BS}_{m,k}^W(0)] - [\mathbf{BS}_{m,k}^W(1)]) ([\mathbb{M}_{m,k}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{m,k}^W(1)])$$

In section 4 we will compute the first two terms of  $U_W(t)$  in the case of the quantized 3-space in a variety of ways. In the final section we repeat the computation for the homogenized Weyl algebra and show that it coincides with the conjectured expression of [3]. In a forthcoming paper [11] we will compute the first two terms of the series for elliptic Sklyanin algebras both in the generic case and the case of 2-torsion points.

*Acknowledgement :* I would like to thank Brent Pym for stimulating conversations concerning the results of [3] and Balazs Szendrői for explaining the importance of the monodromy action (which was lacking in a previous version) and for sharing his calculations on the Exp-expressions of [3]. I am grateful to Ben Davison for pointing out a computational error in summing up the terms in the homogenized Weyl algebra case and explaining the equality with the conjectured motive.

## 2. BRAUER-SEVERI MOTIVES

With  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  we will denote the *trace ring of  $m$  generic  $n \times n$  matrices*. That is,  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -subalgebra of the full matrix-algebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}(k) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m])$  generated by the  $m$  generic matrices

$$X_k = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11}(k) & \dots & x_{1n}(k) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1}(k) & \dots & x_{nn}(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

together with all elements of the form  $\text{Tr}(M)1_n$  where  $M$  runs over all monomials in the  $X_i$ . These algebras have been studied extensively by ringtheorists in the 80ties and some of the results are summarized in the following result

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  be the trace ring of  $m$  generic  $n \times n$  matrices, then*

- (1)  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  is an affine Noetherian domain with center  $Z(\mathbb{T}_{m,n})$  of dimension  $(m-1)n^2 + 1$  and generated as  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra by the  $\text{Tr}(M)$  where  $M$  runs over all monomials in the generic matrices  $X_k$ .
- (2)  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  is a maximal order and a noncommutative UFD, that is all twosided prime ideals of height one are generated by a central element and  $Z(\mathbb{T}_{m,n})$  is a commutative UFD which is a complete intersection if and only if  $n = 1$  or  $(m, n) = (2, 2), (2, 3)$  or  $(3, 2)$ .
- (3)  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  is a reflexive Azumaya algebra unless  $(m, n) = (2, 2)$ , that is, every localization at a central height one prime ideal is an Azumaya algebra.

*Proof.* For (1) see for example [13] or [15]. For (2) see for example [8], for (3) for example [7].  $\square$

A Cayley-Hamilton algebra of degree  $n$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $A$ , equipped with a linear trace map  $tr : A \longrightarrow A$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1)  $tr(a).b = b.tr(a)$
- (2)  $tr(a.b) = tr(b.a)$
- (3)  $tr(tr(a).b) = tr(a).tr(b)$
- (4)  $tr(a) = n$
- (5)  $\chi_a^{(n)}(a) = 0$  where  $\chi_a^{(n)}(t)$  is the formal Cayley-Hamilton polynomial of degree  $n$ , see [14]

For a Cayley-Hamilton algebra  $A$  of degree  $n$  it is natural to look at the scheme  $\mathbf{trep}_n(A)$  of all trace preserving  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $A$ , that is, all trace preserving algebra maps  $A \longrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . A Cayley-Hamilton algebra  $A$  of degree  $n$  is said to be a *smooth Cayley-Hamilton algebra* if  $\mathbf{trep}_n(A)$  is a smooth variety. Procesi has shown that these are precisely the algebras having the smoothness property of allowing lifts modulo nilpotent ideals in the category of all Cayley-Hamilton algebras of degree  $n$ , see [14]. The étale local structure of smooth Cayley-Hamilton algebras and their centers have been extensively studied in [10].

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $W$  be a homogeneous superpotential in  $m$  variables and define the algebra*

$$\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{T}_{m,n}}{(Tr(W) - \lambda)} \quad \text{then} \quad \mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))$$

*If  $Tr(W) - \lambda$  is irreducible in the UFD  $Z(\mathbb{T}_{m,n})$ , then for  $\lambda \neq 0$*

- (1)  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  *is a reflexive Azumaya algebra.*
- (2)  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  *is a smooth Cayley-Hamilton algebra of degree  $n$  and of Krull dimension  $(m-1)n^2$ .*
- (3)  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  *is a domain.*
- (4) *The central singular locus is the non-Azumaya locus of  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  unless  $(m,n) = (2,2)$ .*

*Proof.* (1) : As  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))$  is a smooth affine variety for  $\lambda \neq 0$  (due to homogeneity of  $W$ ) on which  $GL_n$  acts by automorphisms, we know that the ring of invariants,

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))]^{GL_n} = Z(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))$$


which coincides with the center of  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  by e.g. [10, Prop. 2.12], is a normal domain. Because the non-Azumaya locus of  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  has codimension at least 3 (if  $(m,n) \neq (2,2)$ ) by [7], it follows that all localizations of  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  at height one prime ideals are Azumaya algebras. Alternatively, using (2) one can use the theory of local quivers as in [10].

(2) : That the Cayley-Hamilton degree of the quotient  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  remains  $n$  follows from the fact that  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  is a reflexive Azumaya algebra and irreducibility of  $Tr(W) - \lambda$ . Because  $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))$  is a smooth affine variety,  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)$  is a smooth Cayley-Hamilton algebra. The statement on Krull dimension follows from the fact that the Krull dimension of  $\mathbb{T}_{m,n}$  is known to be  $(m-1)n^2 + 1$ .

(3) : After taking determinants, this follows from factoriality of  $Z(\mathbb{T}_{m,n})$  and irreducibility of  $Tr(W) - \lambda$ .

(4) : This follows from the theory of local quivers as in [10]. The most general non-simple representations are of representation type  $(1, a; 1, b)$  with the dimensions

of the two simple representations  $a, b$  adding up to  $n$ . The corresponding local quiver is



and as  $(m-1)ab \geq 2$  under the assumptions, it follows that the corresponding singular point is singular.  $\square$

Let us define for all  $k \leq n$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  the locally closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))$

$$\mathbf{X}_{k,n,\lambda} = \{(v, \phi) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbf{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\phi(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)).v) = k\}$$

Sending a point  $(v, \phi)$  to the point in the Grassmannian  $\mathbf{Gr}(k, n)$  determined by the  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $V = \phi(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)).v \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  we get a Zariskian fibration as in [12]

$$\mathbf{X}_{k,n,\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(k, n)$$

To compute the fiber over  $V$  we choose a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that the first  $k$  base vectors span  $V = \phi(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)).v$ . With respect to this basis, the images of the generic matrices  $X_i$  all are of the following block-form

$$\phi(X_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_k(X_i) & \sigma(X_i) \\ 0 & \phi_{n-k}(X_i) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} \phi_k(X_i) \in M_k(\mathbb{C}) \\ \phi_{n-k}(X_i) \in M_{n-k}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \sigma(X_i) \in M_{n-k \times k}(\mathbb{C}) \end{cases}$$

Using these matrix-form it is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\phi(W(X_1, \dots, X_m))) = \mathrm{Tr}(\phi_k(W(X_1, \dots, X_m))) + \mathrm{Tr}(\phi_{n-k}(W(X_1, \dots, X_m)))$$

That is, if  $\phi_k \in \mathbf{trep}_k(\mathbb{T}_{m,k}^W(\mu))$  then  $\phi_{n-k} \in \mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(\lambda - \mu))$  and moreover we have that  $(v, \phi_k) \in \mathbf{X}_{k,k,\mu}$ . Further, the  $m$  matrices  $\sigma(X_i) \in M_{n-k \times k}(\mathbb{C})$  can be taken arbitrary. Rephrasing this in motives we get

$$[\mathbf{X}_{k,n,\lambda}] = \mathbb{L}^{mk(n-k)} [\mathbf{Gr}(k, n)] \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,\mu}] [\mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(\lambda - \mu))]$$

Here the summation  $\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}}$  is shorthand for distinguishing between zero and non-zero values of  $\mu$  and  $\lambda - \mu$ . For example, with  $\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,\mu}] [\mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(\lambda - \mu))]$  we mean for  $\lambda \neq 0$

$$(\mathbb{L} - 2) [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,1}] [\mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(1))] + [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,0}] [\mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(\lambda))] + [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,\lambda}] [\mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(0))]$$

and when  $\lambda = 0$

$$(\mathbb{L} - 1) [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,1}] [\mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(1))] + [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,0}] [\mathbf{trep}_{n-k}(\mathbb{T}_{m,n-k}^W(0))].$$

Further, we have

$$[\mathbf{Gr}(k, n)] = \frac{[GL_n]}{[GL_k][GL_{n-k}]\mathbb{L}^{k(n-k)}} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{X}_{k,k,\mu}] = [GL_k][\mathbf{BS}_{m,k}^W(\mu)]$$

and substituting this in the above, and recalling that  $\mathbb{M}_{m,l}^W(\alpha) = \mathbf{trep}_l(\mathbb{T}_{m,l}^W(\alpha))$ , we get

**Proposition 3.** *With notations as before we have for all  $0 < k < n$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  that*

$$[\mathbf{X}_{k,n,\lambda}] = [GL_n] \mathbb{L}^{(m-1)k(n-k)} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} [\mathbf{BS}_{m,k}^W(\mu)] \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n-k}^W(\lambda - \mu)]}{[GL_{n-k}]}$$

Further, we have

$$[\mathbf{X}_{0,n,\lambda}] = [\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{X}_{n,n,\lambda}] = [GL_n][\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)]$$

We can also express this in terms of generating series. Equip the commutative ring  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[t]]$  with the modified product

$$t^a * t^b = \mathbb{L}^{(m-1)ab} t^{a+b}$$

and consider the following two generating series for all  $\frac{1}{2} \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} B_\lambda(t) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)] t^n \quad \text{and} \quad R_\lambda(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)]}{[GL_n]} t^n \\ B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\frac{1}{2})] t^n \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\frac{1}{2})]}{[GL_n]} t^n \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 4.** *With notations as before we have the functional equation*

$$1 + R_1(\mathbb{L}t) = \sum_{\mu} B_\mu(t) * R_{1-\mu}(t)$$

*Proof.* The disjoint union of the strata of the dimension function on  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \text{trep}_n(\mathbb{T}_{m,n}^W(\lambda))$  gives

$$\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) = \mathbf{X}_{0,n,\lambda} \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{1,n,\lambda} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathbf{X}_{n,n,\lambda}$$

Rephrasing this in terms of motives gives

$$\mathbb{L}^n [\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)] = [\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} [\mathbf{X}_{k,n,\lambda}] + [GL_n][\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)]$$

and substituting the formula of proposition 3 into this we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)]}{[GL_n]} \mathbb{L}^n t^n &= \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)]}{[GL_n]} t^n + \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{C}} ([\mathbf{BS}_{m,k}^W(\mu)] t^k) * \left( \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n-k}^W(\lambda - \mu)]}{[GL_{n-k}]} t^{n-k} \right) + [\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)] t^n \end{aligned}$$

Now, take  $\lambda = 1$  then on the left hand side we have the  $n$ -th term of the series  $1 + R_1(\mathbb{L}t)$  and on the right hand side we have the  $n$ -th factor of the series  $\sum_{\mu} B_\mu(t) * R_{1-\mu}(t)$ . The outer two terms arise from the product  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(t) * R_{\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ , using that  $W$  is homogeneous whence for all  $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) \simeq \mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda) \simeq \mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(1)$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

These formulas allow us to determine the motive  $[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)]$  inductively from lower dimensional contributions and from the knowledge of the motive of the Brauer-Severi scheme  $[\mathbf{BS}_{m,n}^W(\lambda)]$ .

**Proposition 5.** *For all  $n$  we have the following inductive description of the motives in the Donalson-Thomas series*

$$(\mathbb{L}^n - 1) \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{m,n}^W(1)]}{[GL_n]}$$

is equal to

$$[\mathbb{BS}_{m,n}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{BS}_{m,n}^W(1)] + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mathbb{L}^{(m-1)k(n-k)}}{[GL_{n-k}]} ([\mathbb{BS}_{m,k}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{BS}_{m,k}^W(1)]) ([\mathbb{M}_{m,k}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{m,k}^W(1)])$$

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 3 and the fact that for all  $\mu \neq 0$  we have that  $[\mathbb{M}_{m,k}^W(\mu)] = [\mathbb{M}_{m,k}^W(1)]$  and  $[\mathbb{BS}_{m,k}^W(\mu)] = [\mathbb{BS}_{m,k}^W(1)]$ .  $\square$

### 3. DEFORMATIONS OF AFFINE 3-SPACE

The commutative polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$  is the Jacobi algebra associated with the superpotential  $W = XYZ - XZY$ . For this reason we restrict in the rest of this paper to cases where the superpotential  $W$  is a cubic necklace in three non-commuting variables  $X, Y$  and  $Z$ , that is  $m = 3$  from now on. As even in this case the calculations become quickly unmanageable we restrict to  $n \leq 2$ , that is we only will compute the coefficients of  $t$  and  $t^2$  in  $U_W(t)$ . We will have to compute the motives of fibers of the Chern-Simons functional

$$M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{Tr(W)} \mathbb{C}$$

so we want to express  $Tr(W)$  as a function in the variables of the three generic  $2 \times 2$  matrices

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} n & p \\ q & r \end{bmatrix}, Y = \begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{bmatrix}, Z = \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix}.$$


We will call  $\{n, r, s, v, w, x\}$  (resp.  $\{p, t, x\}$  and  $\{q, u, y\}$ ) the diagonal- (resp. upper- and lower-) variables. We claim that

$$Tr(W) = C + Q_q \cdot q + Q_u \cdot u + Q_y \cdot y$$

where  $C$  is a cubic in the diagonal variables and  $Q_q, Q_u$  and  $Q_y$  are bilinear in the diagonal and upper variables, that is, there are linear terms  $L_{ab}$  in the diagonal variables such that

$$\begin{cases} Q_q = L_{qp} \cdot p + L_{qt} \cdot t + L_{qx} \cdot x \\ Q_u = L_{up} \cdot p + L_{ut} \cdot t + L_{ux} \cdot x \\ Q_y = L_{yp} \cdot p + L_{yt} \cdot t + L_{yx} \cdot x \end{cases}$$

This follows from considering the two diagonal entries of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix as the vertices of a quiver and the variables as arrows connecting these vertices as follows



and observing that only an oriented path of length 3 starting and ending in the same vertex can contribute something non-zero to  $Tr(W)$ . Clearly these linear and cubic terms are fully determined by  $W$ . If we take

$$W = \alpha X^3 + \beta Y^3 + \gamma Z^3 + \delta XYZ + \epsilon XZY$$

then we have  $C = W(n, s, w) + W(r, v, z)$  and

$$\begin{cases} L_{qp} = 3\alpha(n+r) \\ L_{qt} = \epsilon w + \delta z \\ L_{qx} = \delta s + \epsilon v \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} L_{up} = \delta w + \epsilon z \\ L_{ut} = 3\beta(s+v) \\ L_{ux} = \epsilon n + \delta r \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} L_{yp} = \epsilon s + \delta v \\ L_{yt} = \delta n + \epsilon r \\ L_{yx} = 3\gamma(w+z) \end{cases}$$

By using the cellular decomposition of the Brauer-Severi scheme of  $\mathbb{T}_{3,2}$  one can simplify the computations further by specializing certain variables. From [16] we deduce that  $\mathbf{BS}_2(\mathbb{T}_{3,2})$  has a cellular decomposition as  $\mathbb{A}^{10} \sqcup \mathbb{A}^8 \sqcup \mathbb{A}^8$  where the three cells have representatives

$$\begin{cases} \text{cell}_1 : v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, & X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p \\ 1 & r \end{bmatrix}, & Y = \begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ u & v \end{bmatrix}, & Z = \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{cell}_2 : v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, & X = \begin{bmatrix} n & p \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}, & Y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ 1 & v \end{bmatrix}, & Z = \begin{bmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{bmatrix} \\ \text{cell}_3 : v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, & X = \begin{bmatrix} n & p \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix}, & Y = \begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ 0 & v \end{bmatrix}, & Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ 1 & z \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1)$  decomposes as  $\mathbf{S}_1 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_3$  where the subschemes  $\mathbf{S}_i$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{11-i}$  have defining equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{S}_1 : (C + Q_u \cdot u + Q_y \cdot y + Q_q)|_{n=0} = 1 \\ \mathbf{S}_2 : (C + Q_y \cdot y + Q_u)|_{s=0} = 1 \\ \mathbf{S}_3 : (C + Q_y)|_{w=0} = 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that in using the cellular decomposition, we set a variable equal to 1. So, in order to retain a homogeneous form we let  $\mathbb{G}_m$  act on  $n, s, w, r, v, z$  with weight one, on  $q, u, y$  with weight two and on  $x, t, p$  with weight zero. Thus, we need a slight extension of [4, Thm. 1.3] as to allow  $\mathbb{G}_m$  to act with weight two on certain variables.

From now on we will assume that  $W$  is as above with  $\delta = 1$  and  $\epsilon \neq 0$ . In this generality we can prove:

**Proposition 6.** *With assumptions as above*

$$[\mathbf{S}_3] = \begin{cases} \mathbb{L}^7 - \mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^3[W(n, s, 0) + W(-\epsilon^{-1}n, -\epsilon s, 0) = 1]_{\mathbb{A}^2} & \text{if } \gamma \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{L}^7 - \mathbb{L}^5 + \mathbb{L}^3[W(n, s, 0) + W(-\epsilon^{-1}n, -\epsilon s, z) = 1]_{\mathbb{A}^3} & \text{if } \gamma = 0 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.*  $\mathbf{S}_3$  : The defining equation in  $\mathbb{A}^8$  is equal to

$$W(n, s, 0) + W(r, v, z) + (\epsilon s + v)p + (n + \epsilon r)t + 3\gamma(z)x = 1$$

If  $\epsilon s + v \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $p$  and get a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . If  $v = -\epsilon s$  but  $n + \epsilon r \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $t$  and get a term  $\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . From now on we may assume that  $v = -\epsilon s$  and  $r = -\epsilon^{-1}n$ .

$\gamma \neq 0$  : Assume first that  $z \neq 0$  then we can eliminate  $x$  and get a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L} - 1)$ . If  $z = 0$  then we get a term

$$\mathbb{L}^3[W(n, s, 0) + W(-\epsilon^{-1}n, -\epsilon s, 0) = 1]_{\mathbb{A}^2}$$

$\gamma = 0$  : Then we have a remaining contribution

$$\mathbb{L}^3[W(n, s, 0) + W(-\epsilon^{-1}n, -\epsilon s, z) = 1]_{\mathbb{A}^3}$$

Summing up all contributions gives the result.  $\square$

Calculating the motives of  $\mathbf{S}_2$  and  $\mathbf{S}_1$  in this generality quickly leads to a myriad of subcases to consider. For this reason we will defer the calculations in the cases of interest to the next sections. Specializing Proposition 5 to the case of  $n = 2$  we get

**Proposition 7.** *For  $n = 2$  we have that*

$$(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1) \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)]}{[GL_2]}$$

is equal to

$$[\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1)] + \frac{\mathbb{L}^2}{(\mathbb{L} - 1)} ([\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(1)])^2$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Proposition 5 and from the fact that  $\mathbf{BS}_{3,1}^W(1) = \mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(1)$  and  $\mathbf{BS}_{3,1}^W(0) = \mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(0)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. QUANTUM AFFINE THREE-SPACE

For  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$  consider the superpotential  $W_q = XYZ - qXZY$ , then the associated algebra  $R_{W_q}$  is the quantum affine 3-space

$$R_{W_q} = \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle X, Y, Z \rangle}{(XY - qYX, ZX - qXZ, YZ - qZY)}$$

It is well-known that  $R_{W_q}$  has finite dimensional simple representations of dimension  $n$  if and only if  $q$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity. For other values of  $q$  the only finite dimensional simples are 1-dimensional and parametrized by  $XYZ = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . In this case we have

$$\begin{cases} [\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^{W_q}(1)] = [(q-1)XYZ = 1]_{\mathbb{A}^3} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^2 \\ [\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^{W_q}(0)] = [(1-q)XYZ = 0]_{\mathbb{A}^3} = 3\mathbb{L}^2 - 3\mathbb{L} + 1 \end{cases}$$

That is, the coefficient of  $t$  in  $U_{W_q}(t)$  is equal to

$$\mathbb{L}^{-1} \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^{W_q}(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^{W_q}(1)]}{[GL_1]} = \mathbb{L}^{-1} \frac{2\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L} - 1} = \frac{2\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L} - 1}$$

In [3, Thm. 3.1] it is shown that in case  $q$  is not a root of unity, then

$$U_{W_q}(t) = \text{Exp}\left(\frac{2\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L} - 1} \frac{t}{1 - t}\right)$$

and if  $q$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity then

$$U_{W_q}(t) = \text{Exp}\left(\frac{2\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L} - 1} \frac{t}{1 - t} + (\mathbb{L} - 1) \frac{t^n}{1 - t^n}\right)$$

In [3, 3.4.1] a rather complicated attempt is made to explain the term  $\mathbb{L} - 1$  in case  $q$  is an  $n$ -th root of unity in terms of certain simple  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $R_{W_q}$ . Note that the geometry of finite dimensional representations of the algebra  $R_{W_q}$  is studied extensively in [5] and note that there are additional simple  $n$ -dimensional representations not taken into account in [3, 3.4.1].

Perhaps a more conceptual explanation of the two terms in the exponential expression of  $U_{W_q}(t)$  in case  $q$  is an  $n$ -th root of unity is as follows. As  $W_q$  admits a cut  $W_q = X(YZ - qZY)$  it follows from [12] that for all dimensions  $m$  we have

$$[\mathbb{M}_{3,m}^{W_q}(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,m}^{W_q}(1)] = \mathbb{L}^{m^2} [\mathbf{rep}_m(\mathbb{C}_q[Y, Z])]$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_q[Y, Z] = \mathbb{C}\langle Y, Z \rangle / (YZ - qZY)$  is the quantum plane. If  $q$  is an  $n$ -th root of unity the only finite dimensional simple representations of  $\mathbb{C}_q[Y, Z]$  are of dimension 1 or  $n$ . The 1-dimensional simples are parametrized by  $YZ = 0$  in  $\mathbb{A}^2$  having as motive  $2\mathbb{L} - 1$  and as all have  $GL_1$  as stabilizer group, this explains the term  $(2\mathbb{L} - 1)/(\mathbb{L} - 1)$ . The center of  $\mathbb{C}_q[Y, Z]$  is equal to  $\mathbb{C}[Y^n, Z^n]$  and the corresponding variety  $\mathbb{A}^2 = \mathbf{Max}(\mathbb{C}[Y^n, Z^n])$  parametrizes  $n$ -dimensional semi-simple representations. The  $n$ -dimensional simples correspond to the Zariski open set  $\mathbb{A}^2 - (Y^n Z^n = 0)$  which has as motive  $(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2$ . Again, as all these have as  $GL_2$ -stabilizer subgroup  $GL_1$ , this explains the term

$$\mathbb{L} - 1 = \frac{(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2}{[GL_1]}$$

As the superpotential allows a cut in this case we can use the full strength of [1] and can obtain  $[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)]$  from  $[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)]$  from the equality

$$\mathbb{L}^{12} = [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] + (\mathbb{L} - 1)[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)]$$

To illustrate the inductive procedure using Brauer-Severi motives we will consider the case  $n = 2$ , that is  $q = -1$  with superpotential  $W = XYZ + XZY$ . In this case we have from [3, Thm. 3.1] that

$$U_W(t) = \text{Exp}\left(\frac{2\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L} - 1} \frac{t}{1 - t} + (\mathbb{L} - 1) \frac{t^2}{1 - t^2}\right)$$

The basic rules of the plethystic exponential on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}[[t]]$  are

$$\text{Exp}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} [A_n] t^n\right) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - t^n)^{-[A_n]} \quad \text{where} \quad (1 - t)^{-\mathbb{L}^m} = (1 - \mathbb{L}^m t)^{-1}$$

and one has to extend all infinite products in  $t$  and  $\mathbb{L}^{-1}$ . One starts by rewriting  $U_W(t)$  as a product

$$U_W(t) = \text{Exp}\left(\frac{t}{1 - t}\right) \text{Exp}\left(\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L} - 1} \frac{t}{1 - t}\right) \text{Exp}\left(\frac{\mathbb{L} t^2}{1 - t^2}\right) \text{Exp}\left(\frac{t^2}{1 - t^2}\right)^{-1}$$

where each of the four terms is an infinite product

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exp}\left(\frac{t}{1 - t}\right) &= \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - t^m)^{-1}, & \text{Exp}\left(\frac{\mathbb{L}}{\mathbb{L} - 1} \frac{t}{1 - t}\right) &= \prod_{m \geq 1} \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-j} t^m)^{-1} \\ \text{Exp}\left(\frac{\mathbb{L} t^2}{1 - t^2}\right) &= \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - \mathbb{L} t^{2m})^{-1}, & \text{Exp}\left(\frac{t^2}{1 - t^2}\right)^{-1} &= \prod_{m \geq 1} (1 - t^{2m}) \end{aligned}$$

That is, we have to work out the infinite product

$$\prod_{m \geq 1} ((1 - t^{2m-1})^{-1} (1 - \mathbb{L} t^{2m})^{-1}) \prod_{m \geq 1} \prod_{j \geq 0} (1 - \mathbb{L}^{-j} t^m)^{-1}$$

as a power series in  $t$ , at least up to quadratic terms. One obtains

$$U_W(t) = 1 + \frac{2\mathbb{L} - 1}{\mathbb{L} - 1} t + \frac{\mathbb{L}^4 + 3\mathbb{L}^3 - 2\mathbb{L}^2 - 2\mathbb{L} + 1}{(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)(\mathbb{L} - 1)} t^2 + \dots$$

That is, if  $W = XYZ + XZY$  one must have the relation:

$$[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)] = \mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^4 + 3\mathbb{L}^3 - 2\mathbb{L}^2 - 2\mathbb{L} + 1)$$

**4.1. Dimensional reduction.** It follows from the dimensional reduction argument of [12] that

$$[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)] = \mathbb{L}^4[\mathbf{rep}_2 \mathbb{C}_{-1}[X, Y]]$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_{-1}[X, Y]$  is the quantum plane at  $q = -1$ , that is,  $\mathbb{C}\langle X, Y \rangle / (XY + YX)$ . The matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

gives us the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 2ae + bg + fc = 0 \\ 2hd + bg + fc = 0 \\ f(a + d) + b(e + h) = 0 \\ c(h + e) + g(a + d) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where the two first are equivalent to  $ae = hd$  and  $2ae + bg + fc = 0$ . Changing variables

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(a + d), \quad y = \frac{1}{2}(a - d), \quad u = \frac{1}{2}(e + h), \quad v = \frac{1}{2}(e - h)$$

the equivalent system then becomes (in the variables  $b, c, f, g, u, v, x, y$ )

$$\begin{cases} xv + yu = 0 \\ xu + yv + bg + fc = 0 \\ fx + bu = 0 \\ cu + gx = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 8.** *The motive of  $R_2 = \mathbf{rep}_2 \mathbb{C}_{-1}[x, y]$  is equal to*

$$[R_2] = \mathbb{L}^5 + 3\mathbb{L}^4 - 2\mathbb{L}^3 - 2\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L}$$

*Proof.* If  $x \neq 0$  we obtain

$$v = -\frac{yu}{x}, \quad f = -\frac{bu}{x}, \quad g = -\frac{cu}{x}$$

and substituting these in the remaining second equation we get the equation(s)

$$u(y^2 - x^2 + 2bc) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \neq 0$$

If  $u \neq 0$  then  $y^2 - x^2 + 2bc = 0$ . If in addition  $b \neq 0$  then  $c = \frac{x^2 - y^2}{2b}$  and  $y$  is free. As  $x, u$  and  $b$  are non-zero this gives a contribution  $(\mathbb{L} - 1)^3 \mathbb{L}$ . If  $b = 0$  then  $c$  is free and  $x^2 - y^2 = 0$ , so  $y = \pm x$ . This together with  $x \neq 0 \neq u$  leads to a contribution of  $2\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2$ . If  $u = 0$  then  $y, b$  and  $c$  are free variables, and together with  $x \neq 0$  this gives  $(\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^3$ .

Remains the case that  $x = 0$ . Then the system reduces to

$$\begin{cases} yu = 0 \\ yv + bg + fc = 0 \\ bu = 0 \\ cu = 0 \end{cases}$$

If  $u \neq 0$  then  $y = 0, b = 0$  and  $c = 0$  leaving  $c, g, v$  free. This gives  $(\mathbb{L} - 1)\mathbb{L}^3$ . If  $u = 0$  then the only remaining equation is  $yv + bg + fc = 0$ . That is, we get the cone in  $\mathbb{A}^6$  of the Grassmannian  $Gr(2, 4)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . As the motive of  $Gr(2, 4)$  is

$$[Gr(2, 4)] = (\mathbb{L}^2 + 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1)$$

we get a contribution of

$$(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 + 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1) + 1$$

Summing up all contributions gives the desired result.  $\square$

**4.2. Brauer-Severi motives.** In the three cells of the Brauer-Severi scheme of  $\mathbb{T}_{3,2}$  of dimensions resp. 10, 9 and 8 the superpotential  $Tr(XYZ + XZY)$  induces the equations:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{S}_1 : 2rvz + puz + pvy + rty + psy + rux + puw + tz + vx + sx + tw = 1 \\ \mathbf{S}_2 : 2rvz + pvy + rty + nty + pz + rx + nx + pw = 1 \\ \mathbf{S}_3 : 2rvz + pv + rt + nt + ps = 1 \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 9.** *With notations as above, the Brauer-Severi scheme of  $\mathbb{T}_{3,2}^W(1)$  has a decomposition*

$$\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1) = \mathbf{S}_1 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_3$$

where the schemes  $\mathbf{S}_i$  have motives

$$\begin{cases} [\mathbf{S}_1] = \mathbb{L}^9 - \mathbb{L}^6 - 2\mathbb{L}^5 + 3\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^3 \\ [\mathbf{S}_2] = \mathbb{L}^8 - 2\mathbb{L}^5 + \mathbb{L}^4 \\ [\mathbf{S}_3] = \mathbb{L}^7 - 2\mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^3 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the Brauer-Severi scheme has motive

$$[\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1)] = \mathbb{L}^9 + \mathbb{L}^8 + \mathbb{L}^7 - \mathbb{L}^6 - 4\mathbb{L}^5 + 2\mathbb{L}^4$$

*Proof.*  $\mathbf{S}_1$  : From Proposition 6 we obtain

$$[\mathbf{S}_3] = \mathbb{L}^7 - \mathbb{L}^5 + \mathbb{L}^3[W(n, s, 0) + W(-n, -s, z) = 1]_{\mathbb{A}^3}$$

and as  $W(n, s, 0) + W(-n, -s, z) = 2nsz$  we get  $\mathbb{L}^7 - \mathbb{L}^5 + \mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2$ .

$\mathbf{S}_2$  : The defining equation is

$$2rvz + y(pv + (r + n)t) + p(z + w) + x(r + n) = 1$$

If  $r + n \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $x$  and have a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . If  $r + n = 0$  we get the equation

$$2rvz + p(yv + z + w) = 1$$

If  $yv + z + w \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $p$  and get a term  $\mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^3)$ . If  $r + n = 0$  and  $yv + z + w = 0$  we have  $2rvz = 1$  so a term  $\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2$ . Summing up gives us

$$[\mathbf{S}_2] = \mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^3 + \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} - 1) = \mathbb{L}^8 - 2\mathbb{L}^5 + \mathbb{L}^4$$

$\mathbf{S}_1$  : The defining equation is

$$2rvz + p(u(z + w) + y(v + s)) + t(z + w + ry) + x(v + s + ru) = 1$$

If  $v + s + ru \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $x$  and get  $\mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^3)$ . If  $v + s + ru = 0$  and  $z + w + ry \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $t$  and have a term  $\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^3)$ . If  $v + s + ru = 0$  and  $z + w + ry = 0$ , the equation becomes (in  $\mathbb{A}^8$ , with  $t, x$  free variables)

$$2r(vz - puy) = 1$$

giving a term  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{L}^5 - [vz = puy])$ . To compute  $[vz = puy]_{\mathbb{A}^5}$  assume first that  $v \neq 0$ , then this gives  $\mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L} - 1)$  and if  $v = 0$  we get  $\mathbb{L}(3\mathbb{L}^2 - 3\mathbb{L} + 1)$ . That is,  $[vz = puy]_{\mathbb{A}^5} = \mathbb{L}^4 + 2\mathbb{L}^3 - 3\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L}$ . In total this gives us

$$[\mathbf{S}_1] = \mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^5 + \mathbb{L}^4 + \mathbb{L}^3 - 2\mathbb{L} + 1) = \mathbb{L}^9 - \mathbb{L}^6 - 2\mathbb{L}^5 + 3\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^3$$

finishing the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 10.** *From the Brauer-Severi motive we obtain*

$$\begin{cases} [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)] &= \mathbb{L}^{11} - \mathbb{L}^8 - 3\mathbb{L}^7 + 2\mathbb{L}^6 + 2\mathbb{L}^5 - \mathbb{L}^4 \\ [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] &= \mathbb{L}^{11} + \mathbb{L}^9 + 2\mathbb{L}^8 - 5\mathbb{L}^7 + 3\mathbb{L}^5 - \mathbb{L}^4 \end{cases}$$

As a consequence we have,

$$[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)] = \mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^5 + 3\mathbb{L}^4 - 2\mathbb{L}^3 - 2\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L})$$

*Proof.* We have already seen that  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(1) = \{(x, y, z) \mid 2xyz = 1\}$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(0) = \{(x, y, z) \mid xyz = 0\}$  whence

$$[\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(1)] = (\mathbb{L} - 1)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(0)] = 3\mathbb{L}^2 - 3\mathbb{L} + 1$$

Plugging this and the obtained Brauer-Severi motive into Proposition 5 gives  $[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)]$ . From this  $[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)]$  follows from the equation  $\mathbb{L}^{12} = (\mathbb{L} - 1)[\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(1)] + [\mathbb{M}_{3,2}^W(0)]$ .  $\square$

## 5. THE HOMOGENIZED WEYL ALGEBRA

If we consider the superpotential  $W = XYZ - XZY - \frac{1}{3}X^3$  then the associated algebra  $R_W$  is the homogenized Weyl algebra

$$R_W = \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle X, Y, Z \rangle}{(XZ - ZX, XY - YX, YZ - ZY - X^2)}$$

In this case we have  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(1) = \{x^3 = -3\}$  and  $\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(0) = \{x^3 = 0\}$ , whence

$$[\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(1)] = \mathbb{L}^2[\mu_3], \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(0)] = \mathbb{L}^2$$

where, as in [3, 3.1.3] we denote by  $[\mu_3]$  the equivariant motivic class of  $\{x^3 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{A}^1$  carrying the canonical action of  $\mu_3$ . Therefore, the coefficient of  $t$  in  $U_W(t)$  is equal to

$$\mathbb{L}^{-1} \frac{[\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(0)] - [\mathbb{M}_{3,1}^W(1)]}{[GL_1]} = \frac{\mathbb{L}(1 - [\mu_3])}{\mathbb{L} - 1}$$

As all finite dimensional simple representations of  $R_W$  are of dimension one, this leads to the conjectural expression [3, Conjecture 3.3]

$$U_W(t) \stackrel{?}{=} \text{Exp}\left(\frac{\mathbb{L}(1 - [\mu_3])}{\mathbb{L} - 1} \frac{t}{1 - t}\right)$$

Balazs Szendrői kindly provided the calculation of the first two terms of this series. Denote with  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}} = 1 - [\mu_3]$ , then

$$U_W(t) \stackrel{?}{=} 1 + \frac{\mathbb{L}\tilde{\mathbf{M}}}{\mathbb{L} - 1}t + \frac{\mathbb{L}^2\tilde{\mathbf{M}}^2 + \mathbb{L}(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)\tilde{\mathbf{M}} + \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{L} - 1)\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{M}})}{(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)(\mathbb{L} - 1)}t^2 + \dots$$

As was pointed out by B. Pym and B. Davison it follows from [4, Defn 4.4 and Prop 4.5 (4)] that  $\sigma_2(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}) = \mathbb{L}$ , so the second term is equal to

$$\frac{\mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L} - 1) + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1) + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}^2\mathbb{L}^2}{(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)(\mathbb{L} - 1)}$$

We will now compute the this second term using Brauer-Severi motives.

Recall that  $\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(i)$ , for  $i = 0, 1$ , decomposes as  $\mathbf{S}_1 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_3$  where the subschemes  $\mathbf{S}_i$  of  $\mathbb{A}^{11-i}$  have defining equations

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{S}_1 : -\frac{1}{3}r^3 + ((w-z)p + rx)u + ((v-s)p - rt)y - rp + (z-w)t + (s-v)x = \delta_{i1} \\ \mathbf{S}_2 : -\frac{1}{3}n^3 - \frac{1}{3}r^3 + (vp + (n-r)t)y + (w-z)p + (r-n)x = \delta_{i1} \\ \mathbf{S}_3 : -\frac{1}{3}n^3 - \frac{1}{3}r^3 + (v-s)p + (n-r)t = \delta_{i1} \end{cases}$$

If we let the generator of  $\mu_3$  act with weight one on the variables  $n, s, w, r, v, z$ , with weight two on  $x, t, p$  and with weight zero on  $q, u, y$  we see that the schemes  $\mathbf{S}_j$  for  $i = 1$  are indeed  $\mu_3$ -varieties. We will now compute their equivariant motives:

**Proposition 11.** *With notations as above, the Brauer-Severi scheme of  $\mathbb{T}_{3,2}^W(1)$  has a decomposition*

$$\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1) = \mathbf{S}_1 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_3$$

where the schemes  $\mathbf{S}_i$  have equivariant motives

$$\begin{cases} [\mathbf{S}_1] = \mathbb{L}^9 - \mathbb{L}^6 \\ [\mathbf{S}_2] = \mathbb{L}^8 + ([\mu_3] - 1)\mathbb{L}^6 = \mathbb{L}^8 - \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}^6 \\ [\mathbf{S}_3] = \mathbb{L}^7 + ([\mu_3] - 1)\mathbb{L}^5 = \mathbb{L}^7 - \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}^5 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the Brauer-Severi scheme  $\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1)$  has equivariant motive

$$[\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1)] = \mathbb{L}^9 + \mathbb{L}^8 + \mathbb{L}^7 + ([\mu_3] - 2)\mathbb{L}^6 + ([\mu_3] - 1)\mathbb{L}^5$$

*Proof.*  $\mathbf{S}_3$  : If  $v - s \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $p$  and obtain a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . If  $v = s$  and  $n - r \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $t$  and obtain a term  $\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . Finally, if  $v = s$  and  $n = r$  we have the identity  $-\frac{2}{3}n^3 = 1$  and a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^5[\mu_3]$ .

$\mathbf{S}_2$  : If  $r - n \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $x$  and get a term  $\mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . If  $r - n = 0$  we get the equation in  $\mathbb{A}^8$

$$-\frac{2}{3}n^3 + p(vy + w - z) = 1$$

If  $vy + w - z \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $p$  and get a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^3)$ . Finally, if  $vy + w - z = 0$  we get the equation  $-\frac{2}{3}n^3 = 1$  and hence a term  $\mathbb{L}^3 \cdot \mathbb{L}^3[\mu_3]$ .

$\mathbf{S}_1$  : If  $(w - z)p + rx \neq 0$  then we can eliminate  $u$  and get a contribution

$$\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^5 - [(w - z)p + rx = 0]_{\mathbb{A}^5}) = \mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)$$

If  $(w - z)p + rx = 0$  but  $(v - s)p - rt \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $y$  and get a term

$$\mathbb{L} \cdot [(w - z)p + rx = 0, (v - s)p - rt \neq 0]_{\mathbb{A}^8}$$

To compute the equivariant motive in  $\mathbb{A}^8$  assume first that  $r \neq 0$  then we can eliminate  $x$  from the equation and obtain

$$\mathbb{L}^2[r \neq 0, (v - s)p - rt \neq 0]_{\mathbb{A}^5} = \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L} - 1) - [r \neq 0, (v - s)p - rt = 0]_{\mathbb{A}^5}) = \mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2$$

If  $r = 0$  we have to compute  $[(w - z)p = 0, (v - s)p \neq 0]_{\mathbb{A}^7} = \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L} - 1)^2$ . So, in total this case gives a contribution

$$\mathbb{L} \cdot [(w - z)p + rx = 0, (v - s)p - rt \neq 0]_{\mathbb{A}^8} = \mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)$$

If  $(w - z)p + rx = 0$ ,  $(v - s)p - rt = 0$  and  $r \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $x = \frac{z-w}{r}p$  and  $t = \frac{v-s}{r}p$  and substituting in the defining equation of  $\mathbf{S}_1$  we get

$$-\frac{1}{3}r^3 - rp = 1$$

so we can eliminate  $p$  and obtain a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L} - 1)$ . Finally, if  $(w - z)p + rx = 0$ ,  $(v - s)p - rt = 0$  and  $r = 0$  we get the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (w - z)p = 0 \\ (v - s)p = 0 \\ (z - w)t + (s - v)x = 1 \end{cases}$$

If  $p \neq 0$  we must have  $w - z = 0$  and  $v - s = 0$  which is impossible, so we must have  $p = 0$  and the remaining equation is  $(z - w)t + (s - v)x = 1$  giving a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)$ . Summing up these contributions gives the claimed motive.  $\square$

**Proposition 12.** *With notations as above, the Brauer-Severi scheme of  $\mathbb{T}_{3,2}^W(0)$  has a decomposition*

$$\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(0) = \mathbf{S}_1 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_2 \sqcup \mathbf{S}_3$$

where the schemes  $\mathbf{S}_i$  have (equivariant) motives

$$\begin{cases} [\mathbf{S}_1] = \mathbb{L}^9 + \mathbb{L}^7 - \mathbb{L}^6 \\ [\mathbf{S}_2] = \mathbb{L}^8 \\ [\mathbf{S}_3] = \mathbb{L}^7 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the Brauer-Severi scheme  $\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(0)$  has (equivariant) motive

$$[\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(0)] = \mathbb{L}^9 + \mathbb{L}^8 + 2\mathbb{L}^7 - \mathbb{L}^6$$

*Proof.*  $\mathbf{S}_3$  : If  $v - s \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $p$  and obtain a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . If  $v = s$  and  $n - r \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $t$  and obtain a term  $\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . Finally, if  $v = s$  and  $n = r$  we have the identity  $n^3 = 0$  and a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^5$ .

$\mathbf{S}_2$  : If  $r - n \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $x$  and get a term  $\mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L}^2 - \mathbb{L})$ . If  $r - n = 0$  we get the equation in  $\mathbb{A}^8$

$$-\frac{2}{3}n^3 + p(vy + w - z) = 1$$

If  $vy + w - z \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $p$  and get a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L}^4 - \mathbb{L}^3)$ . Finally, if  $vy + w - z = 0$  we get the equation  $n^3 = 0$  and hence a term  $\mathbb{L}^6$ .

$\mathbf{S}_1$  : If  $(w - z)p + rx \neq 0$  we can eliminate  $u$  and obtain a term

$$\mathbb{L}^4(\mathbb{L}^5 - [(w - z)p + rx = 0]_{\mathbb{A}^5}) = \mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)$$

If  $(w - z)p + rx = 0$  but  $(v - s)p - rt \neq 0$  then we can eliminate  $y$  and obtain a contribution

$$\mathbb{L}[(w - z)p + rx = 0, (v - s)p - rt \neq 0]_{\mathbb{A}^8} = \mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L} - 1)(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)$$

Now, assume that  $(w - z)p + rx = 0$  and  $(v - s)p - rt = 0$ . If  $r \neq 0$  then we can eliminate  $p, t$  as before and substituting them in the defining equation of  $\mathbf{S}_1$  we get

$$-\frac{1}{3}r^3 - rp = 0$$

and we can eliminate  $p$  giving a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L} - 1)$ . Finally, if  $(w - z)p + rx = 0$  and  $(v - s)p - rt = 0$  and  $r = 0$  we have the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (w - z)p = 0 \\ (v - s)p = 0 \\ (z - w)t + (s - v)x = 0 \end{cases}$$

If  $p \neq 0$  we get  $w - z = 0$  and  $v - s = 0$  giving a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^6(\mathbb{L} - 1)$ . If  $p = 0$  the only remaining equation is  $(z - w)t + (s - v)x = 0$  which gives a contribution  $\mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} - 1)$ . Summing up all terms gives the claimed motive.  $\square$

Now, we have all the information to compute the second term of the motivic Donaldson-Thomas series. We have

$$\begin{cases} [\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbf{BS}_{3,2}^W(1)] = \mathbb{L}^7 + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}^6 + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}^5 \\ [\mathbf{M}_{3,1}^W(0)] - [\mathbf{M}_{3,1}^W(1)] = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}^2 \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 7 this implies that

$$(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1) \frac{[\mathbf{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbf{M}_{3,2}^W(1)]}{[GL_2]} = \mathbb{L}^7 + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}^6 + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}^5 + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}^2 \frac{\mathbb{L}^6}{(\mathbb{L} - 1)}$$

Therefore the virtual motive is equal to

$$\mathbb{L}^{-4} \frac{[\mathbf{M}_{3,2}^W(0)] - [\mathbf{M}_{3,2}^W(1)]}{[GL_2]} = \frac{\mathbb{L}^3(\mathbb{L} - 1) + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}\mathbb{L}(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1) + \tilde{\mathbf{M}}^2\mathbb{L}^2}{(\mathbb{L}^2 - 1)(\mathbb{L} - 1)}$$

which coincides with the conjectured term in [3, Conjecture 3.3].

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Behrend, J. Bruan and B. Szendroi, *Motivic degree zero Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, [arXiv:0909.5088](#) (2009)
- [2] J. Bryan and A. Morrison, *Motivic classes of commuting varieties via power structures*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015) 183-199
- [3] Alberto Cazzaniga, Andrew Morrison, Brent Pym and Balazs Szendroi, *Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants for some quantized threefolds*, [arXiv:1510.08116](#) (2015)
- [4] Ben Davison and Sven Meinhardt, *Motivic DT-invariants for the one loop quiver with potential*, [arXiv:1108.5956](#) (2011)
- [5] Kevin De Laet, *Geometry of representations of quantum spaces*, [arXiv: 1405.1938](#) (2014)
- [6] Kevin De Laet and Lieven Le Bruyn, *The geometry of representations of 3-dimensional Sklyanin algebras*, Algebras and Representation Theory, **18**, 761-776 (2015)
- [7] Lieven Le Bruyn, *Quiver concomitants are often reflexive Azumaya*, Proc. AMS, **105** (1989) 10-16
- [8] Lieven Le Bruyn, *Trace rings of generic matrices are unique factorization domains*, Glasgow Math. J. **28** (1986) 11-13
- [9] Lieven Le Bruyn and Michel Van den Bergh, *An explicit description of  $\mathbb{T}(3, 2)$* . In "Ring Theory, Proceedings Antwerp 1985", 109-113, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1197, (1986).
- [10] Lieven Le Bruyn, *Noncommutative geometry and Cayley-smooth orders*, Pure and Appl. Math. **290**, Chapman & Hall (2008)
- [11] Lieven Le Bruyn, *The superpotential  $XYZ + XZY - \frac{c}{3}(X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3)$* , to appear.
- [12] Andrew Morrison, *Motivic invariants of quivers via dimensional reduction*, [arXiv:1103.3819](#) (2011)

- [13] Claudio Procesi, *The invariant theory of  $n \times n$  matrices*, Adv. in Math. **19** (1976) 306-381
- [14] Claudio Procesi, *A formal inverse to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem*, J. Alg. **107** (1987) 63-74
- [15] Y. P. Razmyslov, *Trace identities of full matrix algebras over a field of characteristic zero*, Math. USSR-Izv. **8** (1974) 727-760
- [16] Markus Reineke, *Cohomology of non-commutative Hilbert schemes*, Alg. Repr. Theory **8** (2005) 541-561
- [17] Michel Van den Bergh, *The Brauer-Severi scheme of the trace ring of generic matrices*, Perspectives in Ring Theory (Antwerp 1987), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol 233, Kluwer (1988)
- [18] Chelsea Walton, *Representation theory of three-dimensional Sklyanin algebras*, Nuclear Phys. B, **860**, 167-185 (2012)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ANTWERP, MIDDELHEIMLAAN 1, B-2020 ANTWERP (BELGIUM), [lieven.lebrun@uantwerpen.be](mailto:lieven.lebrun@uantwerpen.be)